


Migration, Markets, and Mangrove Resource Use on Kosrae, Federated States of Micronesia



Kosrae, Federated States of Micronesia, is a prototype of an island economy prone to economic crowding. Average family size is large, the habitable land area is small, economic activity is limited, and household dependence on natural resources for fuel and food is high. We analyze how economic crowding—and its mitigation through trade and migration policies—affects mangrove resource use. A comparison of household survey data from 1996 and 2000 indicates that despite decreases in US aid and public-sector jobs, average household consumption of mangrove resources has not increased. Migration and remittances have allowed the purchase of imported fuel and building materials substituting for mangrove wood. Despite changing preferences and shifts toward import consumption, population growth and further declines in US financial support will likely cause aggregate demand for mangrove and upland wood to rise. Moreover, continued emigration may accelerate the export of mangrove crabs to off-island Kosraeans.

INTRODUCTION

Coastal ecosystems worldwide face heightened threats from population pressure and accelerated economic activity. Consumption of coastal resources, including mangroves, fisheries, and beaches that cater to tourists, is now seen as a critical force mediating population-environment interactions along the world's coastlines; however, the socioeconomic, political, and historical factors that determine the structure and patterns of consumption are often poorly understood. Island ecosystems are useful venues for assessing natural and cultural change—and the interactions between people and the environment—because they contain relatively small-scale, bounded landscapes (1). Islands also lend themselves to discrete patterns of resource use and well-defined socioeconomic systems. They thus serve as a useful foundation for studying the dynamics of the population-consumption-environment relationship, particularly as the boundaries of population and consumption expand in response to economic globalization and increased migration.

Human populations and natural ecosystems of islands, especially oceanic islands, are also vulnerable to external disturbance

because of their geographic isolation. The expansion of global markets and migration presents new pathways for the spread of exotic species and pathogens to island ecosystems, and new opportunities for resource-based exports. At the same time, opening markets for imported goods and services and providing an outlet for population growth through emigration help to relieve pressure on island resources. In this paper, we assess the population-consumption-environment interactions related to mangrove forest resources on the island of Kosrae in the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM). The central question of our study is whether economic markets and migration aggravate or alleviate consumption pressures on the island's mangrove resources. A related question—and one more difficult to answer—concerns the implications of global markets and migration for resource stewardship in the long run.

The island of Kosrae presents an excellent microcosm for assessing the interactions among natural resource use, changes in population size and structure, and socioeconomic forces. The current population, estimated at 8150 people, is growing at a natural rate of 3%; average household size is 7.5 people; and 46% of the population is under the age of 18 (2). Like many high islands in the tropics, Kosrae has a wealth of natural resources that has supported human populations for centuries. Most people live near the coast, and virtually all households own a small plot of land in the interior (upland) that is used for agroforestry production (3). In recent years, the private upland area has also been used for wood collection. A large, intact mangrove forest covering roughly 1500 ha and two-thirds of the island's coastline is considered public land. This land traditionally has been accessible to all people on the island with no restrictions or fees.

The consumption of mangrove trees (for fuel), mangrove crabs, and the dozen fish species that live in or around the mangroves was valued for Kosrae at USD 1 million (net) annually in 1996 (4). The same study showed that households accrued additional value from mangrove services in the form of erosion control, flood and storm protection, and wildlife habitat. This snapshot underscored the importance of mangrove resources to the inhabitants of Kosrae, but it provided few insights into the socioeconomic determinants of mangrove use or the change in mangrove use over time in response to population growth and trade and migration policies. In particular, the earlier study left


open the question of how the termination of the current 15-year economic cycle of the Compact of Free Association (herein referred to as “the Compact”), signed between the US and FSM in 1986, might affect the dynamics of mangrove resource use by altering financial flows, employment, and migration patterns on the island.

In this study, we look at how economic crowding—and its mitigation through trade or migration policies—affects mangrove resource use on Kosrae. Economic crowding arises from increasing pressure on the economic resource base (which for Kosrae is almost entirely the natural resource base), caused by population growth, stagnant incomes, and limited substitution in consumption *via* imports (5). We begin by discussing the ecological characteristics of the island, the historical patterns of population growth and economic control, and the current socioeconomic and policy conditions—including the Compact—that jointly determine the patterns of mangrove resource consumption. The framework for analyzing population-consumption-environment linkages is described in the following section, with specific attention to the role of international markets and migration as mediating factors influencing consumption. The methods and results of the socioeconomic study we conducted in 2000 are then discussed and compared with those of our 1996 survey (4) in order to gain a longitudinal perspective on consumption trends. By looking more closely at how socioeconomic forces, human demographic characteristics, and natural resources interact, we show in the final section how varying regional policy and market scenarios might affect resource use on Kosrae. We also discuss how the transition toward globalization and migration might alter principles of common property stewardship on small islands over time.

Our results have important implications for mangrove and upland resource use on Kosrae, as well as for resource use on other small, isolated islands. Although Kosrae has many unique attributes, its pattern of subsidies, migration, and aid can be found throughout Micronesia, the Pacific, and other parts of the world. In most small island nations that have experienced colonization over the past century, migration and remittances strongly influence interactions between population and natural resources.

KOSRAE IN HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Kosraeans live on two connected islands (Lelu/Ualang) within a fringing reef in the Eastern Caroline Islands (5°19' N, 163° 0' E), predominantly in the narrow coastal zone near the mangrove forests. The mangrove forests remain largely intact, although sizeable gaps have been created by fuelwood extraction in sev-



Rising tide in the mangrove forest. Photo: M. Drew.

eral locations (6). Some areas on the island have rich soils appropriate for agriculture, but much of the interior is occupied by freshwater swamps and precipitous mountains, and agroforestry is the main form of agriculture. Athens et al. trace the history of an intensive agroforestry system on Kosrae, with breadfruit as the dominant tree crop and giant swamp taro as the main staple crop, dating back to 1550–1350 Before Present (BP) (7). Population size and density increases, beginning in 800–1200 AD, triggered territorial conquest and the emergence of a complex, hierarchical social order on Kosrae (7, 8). Based on this archeological work, it appears that population pressures in relation to resource availability have governed the structure of Kosraean society for centuries.

During this time, Kosrae, like most of the more remote islands in the western Pacific, is thought to have been isolated, with only occasional contact from other islands. The first recorded visit by Europeans was in 1824, when the scientific vessel *La Coquille* visited for several days. Subsequent stops by whalers and the eventual establishment of Christian missions began an inexorable process of cultural and ecological change. The entry of outsiders also began a period of sharp population declines and increases that has characterized the island for almost two centuries (9). Diseases such as syphilis, influenza, and measles, and possibly a typhoon as well, reduced the population on Kosrae to only 300 individuals in 1880 (9). The highly structured, hierarchical social system that existed before European settlement was lost in the process (10, 11), and a more egalitarian system was established under the guidance of the missionaries on the island. Along with the social system, the Kosraeans' traditional religion and belief systems were largely, although not completely, abandoned.

The Japanese occupied Kosrae from 1914–1945, further suppressing the indigenous Kosraean culture. Before World War II, the number of native Kosraean people increased steadily under Japanese occupation as a result of intensive agricultural development, imported food supplies, increased economic activity, and the provision of education and health care (12). This period was followed by a famine toward the end of the war when an embargo left Japanese troops and Kosraeans alike unable to provide enough food for themselves (9). Countless numbers of Kosraeans died of starvation at this time. The US, assigned to administer the UN Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (TTPI) at the end of the war, left the severely diminished population of Kosraeans alone to manage their own recovery. In the early 1960s, a change in policy led to a rapid influx of money and other forms of assistance. This influx, in turn, initiated dramatic changes in infrastructure, diet, and educational opportunities on the island. The Kosraean population once again began to surge at a growth rate of more than 3% annually (13).

Increased US aid in the early 1960s marked the beginning of a welfare period for Kosrae that persists today. At this time, the emergence of a large state-run bureaucracy increased public sector employment and reduced subsistence living on the island (9). Kosraeans could buy imported food, and those employed by the public sector spent much less time on their farms (14).

Kosrae joined with Pohnpei, Chuuk, and Yap to form FSM in 1976. By the time the Compact came into force in 1986, the US was essentially underwriting the country's economy, which led to balance of payments and government deficits that the newly independent country could not possibly sustain through its own efforts (15). Food, beverage, and tobacco imports represented almost half of total imports, of which 80% came from the US (15). Some types of food, such as rice, also flowed into the country through food aid. By the mid-1980s, Micronesia annually was spending approximately 5 times the amount it earned from exports for imported food, beverages, and tobacco alone (15), with negative consequences for both economic independence and human health.

The Compact was created with the intent of helping FSM achieve economic and political independence (9, 16). It brought the equivalent of more than USD 3.2 billion for development assistance to the country during a 15-yr period, in exchange for exclusive military access to the island's waterways. These funds have contributed substantially to the economies of all FSM states. The current funding cycle expired in 2001, and as of early 2002, a continuation of the Compact was still being re-negotiated. On Kosrae, the government bureaucracy still employs more than 50% of the formal labor force. The FSM's only significant revenue-producing resource is the deep-sea tuna fishery, with fishing rights leased to other countries, such as China. These leasing rights provide only about 10% of the money needed by FSM to maintain its current import schedule.

The decreased strategic importance of the region has generated concern that a new funding cycle of the Compact will be much less lucrative. The FSM government is hoping to receive USD 20 million yr⁻¹ during the next 20 years in the form of a trust fund (as opposed to a block grant), and still retain US government programs in education and health (17). This amount is roughly one-half of the aid received in block grants in recent years, and one-third of that received in 1986 (18, 19). Scheduled cutbacks in funding that took place in 1991 and 1996 already limit the state government's workweek to 28 hrs on Kosrae. Government salaries have been reduced, and early retirement is encouraged to decrease the number of employees in the public sector. These cutbacks have forced greater reliance on subsistence activities and, perhaps even more, on remittances sent back by children and other relatives working off-island.

The Compact has provided Micronesians special status of unlimited entry to the US, along with some incentives for pursuing higher education and the ability to serve in the military forces (20). Micronesians often move to Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), Hawaii, or the mainland US for employment, send back remittances to their families on the islands, and eventually return home to take up residence. Few islands in Micronesia are more isolated than Kosrae, where there is no newspaper only one local radio station, and where television was introduced as recently as 2001. Nonetheless, through their travels, Kosraeans have developed a taste for Western food and other goods.

Some reports suggest that the US now is considering an end to unrestricted entry of Micronesians (17,21), although this decision is not yet on the formal Compact negotiating table (22). The inability of Kosraeans—and Micronesians more generally—to move freely across the Pacific to Guam, Hawaii, and the mainland US would have a substantial effect, not only on population densities, but also on the country's economy.

POPULATION-CONSUMPTION-ENVIRONMENT LINKAGES

The historical context demonstrates that Kosrae, like the rest of FSM, has landed on a path of economic interdependence, bordering on dependence. Depopulation and cultural change severed ties with indigenous systems more than a century ago, limiting the extent to which Kosraeans can appeal to the successful adaptive strategies of their ancestors for resource management (9). As the population continues to grow, the prospects of developing an independent, sustainable economy with the evolved patterns of consumption become increasingly remote. If indeed the future holds continued decreases in US funding, reduced public sector employment, and increases in net population on Kosrae, it is likely that more people will turn to the natural resource base for subsistence activities. Attempts to generate income from this base, such as large-scale tourism, farming mangrove crabs, draining freshwater wetlands, or clearing steep, upland forests for agriculture or timber may jeopardize resource

sustainability and further erode the island's ability to support itself without external aid.

Framework of Analysis

Our central research question focuses on how changes in consumption of mangrove resources resulting from the Compact phase-out have affected population-environment interactions on the island of Kosrae. Consumption is defined in our study as the use of mangrove wood for fuel and construction, and the capture of mangrove crabs for home consumption and sale. We employ the following conceptual framework of analysis:

$$C_t = f(P_t, Y_t, C_m(t), X_t)$$

where

C_t = mangrove wood use, mangrove crab use at time t

P_t = net population growth at time t

Y_t = household income at time t

$C_m(t)$ = consumption of imports that substitute for mangrove wood, such as kerosene and imported lumber, at time t

X = exports of mangrove crabs at time t

and

$$P_t = f(PN_t, PM_t)$$

$$Y_t = f(E_t, R_t)$$

$$C_m(t) = f(Y_t, CP_t)$$

where

PN_t = natural population growth at time t

PM_t = migration at time t

E_t = government, private, and subsistence

employment at time t

R_t = remittances at time t

CP_t = cultural practices and preferences

Two aspects of this framework are noteworthy. First, employment by sector is used as a proxy for income due to the inherent difficulties in acquiring income and savings data from household interviews. Government statistics show that in 1994, 55% of the labor force on Kosrae was employed in the public sector, 23.5% was employed in the private sector, and 21.5% was employed in subsistence activities (19). With the phase-out of the Compact, government sector employment is declining in the number of total jobs, the length of the workweek, and wages—all of which affect household incomes on the island.

The other important feature of the model is that migration and markets for imports and exports affect the consumption of mangrove resources. Emigration provides an outlet for population growth on the island and thus reduces the net population growth rate. Emigration also generates remittances that help to compensate for income declines on the island caused by cutbacks in government employment. Import markets for kerosene and building materials provide a substitute for mangrove wood on Kosrae; however, income and foreign exchange must be available to purchase such imports. The link again to remittances is thus important. In addition, cultural preferences and behaviors affect mangrove resource consumption. For example, the convenience of cooking with kerosene may be so strong that households are motivated to find other means of sustaining income, e.g. tourism jobs, as government jobs are eliminated. Finally, the development of export markets for mangrove crabs could increase the consumption of that resource dramatically.

Current data on changes in household income throughout the 1990s are not yet available from government sources, and official data on migration and remittances are generally lacking. This information was derived from our survey, described in the following section.

Consumption Variables

Mangrove forests are used extensively by Kosraeans. Several species of mangrove trees have been harvested in the past for fuelwood and construction materials, including *Rhizophora*



Mangrove wood harvest gap with *Rhizophora apiculata* stump and *Nypa* palm. Photo: R. Naylor.

apiculata, *Rhizophora stylosa*, *Bruguiera gymnorrhiza*, *Nypa fruticans*, *Sonneratia alba*, *Xylocarpus granatum*, and *Lumnitzera littorea* (23). One species in particular, *R. apiculata*, is favored for firewood (24) because it is an exceptionally hard wood that is long-burning and produces little smoke. Both wood and kerosene are used to cook household meals during the week. Most families build an earthen oven, or *uhm*, on Saturday to prepare the Sunday meals, which requires large quantities of wood across the island. Especially extensive harvesting is associated with funerals, when friends and relatives who gather must be fed during a period of a week to a month.

Increased use of mangrove wood for fuel could have a significant ecological effect on the mangrove forests, which in turn could act as a feedback to consumption over time (25). To date, the mangrove forests on Kosrae are predominantly intact and capable of producing a continued flow of wood products (26). Mangrove harvest rates during the past decade have been estimated at 10% yr⁻¹; some areas have experienced twice that rate, while other areas have experienced limited harvesting pressure (27). Most harvest gaps that were created in the past are relatively small and therefore self-regenerating (24). However, people tend to harvest trees on the edges of gaps, because access is easier and falling timber is less likely to become snagged. As demands on the forest increase, this behavior creates the potential for the formation of large, non-regenerating gaps. Easy access by roads or channels is increasingly concentrating harvests in small areas, and thus large harvest gaps without sufficient regeneration of *R. apiculata* are becoming more common (6). There is a slight tendency for *B. gymnorrhiza*, a less desirable fuelwood, and *N. fruticans*, a palm frond used for thatching, to assume dominance in the smaller gaps that do revegetate (24).

People also harvest mangrove crabs (*Scylla serrata*) from man-

grove forests, estuaries, and reefs in Kosrae. While not a staple food, these crabs are eaten in the normal diet and especially at feasts; they also are sold to tourist hotels and sent off-island to friends and family or for commercial export. Until very recently, Kosrae had no restrictions on crab exports for either private or commercial purposes, and crabs are not farmed in any kind of aquaculture system.

Our earlier work (4) demonstrated a significant difference in fuel use by employment sector. Subsistence households relied more on mangrove wood as their only source of cooking fuel, and households employed in the formal sector relied on a mix of wood, kerosene, and electric stoves. The study also showed a significant difference by employment category in the number of crabs caught per household each month. Subsistence households collected three times more crabs per month than did non-subsistence households. These results suggested that mangrove wood and crab consumption would increase as more households moved into the subsistence sector with the downsizing of the Compact and a reduction of public sector employment. Future growth in crab catch depends, however, on the sustainability of mangrove forests and in crab populations as more pressure is placed on these resources over time.

On the basis of the previous study, we formulated three hypotheses:

- Greater amounts of mangrove wood will be used for fuel as a result of a growing population and reduced purchasing power for kerosene imports due to cutbacks in government employment.
- Local construction materials, which come primarily from mangrove species, will replace imported materials, such as lumber and concrete, as foreign currency becomes scarcer and incomes decline.



A mangrove crab found in the mangroves at Yesron in Utwe.
Photo: E. Waguk.

- More crabs will be captured for domestic sale or export as government employees move into the subsistence sector and as wage incomes decline.

Migration as a Mediating Factor for Consumption

These hypotheses were formulated in response to our observations about a likely decrease in Compact funding and its effect on government employment, but they can not be addressed without also considering changes in migration patterns. Mobility had relatively little effect on the population dynamics of Kosrae before the Compact. By the time of initial contact with Europeans in the 1820s, Kosraeans had lost their ability to sail across open oceans, thus limiting the possibility of emigration (9). Migration patterns started to develop in the early 1900s, mainly in the form of emigration to other areas in the Pacific to provide labor. After World War II, emigration was enhanced by economic investments within the TTPI, easier means of travelling, and better educational and employment opportunities elsewhere in the Pacific, such as Guam and CNMI (9). As of 1985, however, relatively few citizens of FSM had migrated beyond the bounds of their country (28). By the 1990s, just a few years after the Compact was signed the situation had changed dramatically (20).

The provision in the Compact permitting free entry of Micronesians to the US proved to be an important safety valve for faltering economic development in FSM (29). Unfortunately, detailed demographic studies of migration for FSM, and particularly for Kosrae, are scarce. What studies exist, however, demonstrate substantial population movements within the Pacific. In 1994, the yearly emigration rate of Micronesians to Guam and CNMI was 1.0% for FSM as a whole, and 0.5% for Kosrae (30). Although annual rates are not available, FSM census data show that Kosraeans tended to migrate more to Hawaii and the mainland US; of Kosraeans living abroad in 1994, 58% were in Hawaii and the mainland US, 24% in Guam, 6% in the Marshall Islands, 2% in CNMI, and 10% in other areas (19). The population of Kosraeans living outside of FSM in 1994 was equivalent to 15% of the population living on the island (19).

An interesting feature of migration in FSM is that there appears to have been considerable back-migration, or the return of former emigrants to their original home (30). For many emigrants, migration is seen as a means to expand educational opportunities, earn extra income for families at home, or travel through military service, without the intent of permanently living abroad. Emigrants from Kosrae maintain strong family and cultural ties and often return home after a number of years abroad. The extent of back-migration has not been adequately recorded, but it has important implications for incomes, prefer-

ences, and changes in the value system influencing resource stewardship on the island in the long run.

After 15 years of population outflow, monetary remittances have become an important source of income for Kosraeans and residents of other FSM states. The last FSM census report to document remittances was in 1994 (19), when 15% of all households in FSM reported remittances. The amount reported was equivalent to 15% of total household income. The relative importance of remittances varied across states; only 6% of households on Kosrae reported remittances (equivalent to 8% of median income), but 29% of households on Chuuk, a much poorer state, reported remittances. In all states, the trend in remittances showed a steady increase with no indication of a plateau or decline; already by 1994 there were signs that more dollars were flowing into FSM than out because of migration (30). On Kosrae, remittances have fueled expenditures for new houses, vehicles, and other imported consumption items.

The pattern of migration, remittances, and aid that has evolved in Kosrae during the past three decades is seen in many other island states around the world, e.g. the Caribbean (31), Western Samoa and the Tongan Islands (32–35), and other islands in the Pacific (36). Pacific Island economies, in particular, receive considerably more aid per capita than any other country or territory in the world (37). Development in this region is consistent with the MIRAB (migration, remittances, aid, and bureaucracy) model articulated by Bertram and Watters (38). MIRAB defines a development process in which remittances and foreign aid are the main economic resources of the local economy. Bertram and Watters claim that this process is sustainable—and perhaps optimal—in the long run, as long as the “rent” from remittances and aid can be obtained for an indefinite period. The basis for the theory centered on the fact that colonial administrations long ago raised living standards in these small economies above the level that could be sustained on the basis of local subsistence-sector production and trade.

Most small Pacific economies do not have the international specialization required to benefit from economies of scale and have a comparative advantage in international trade (39, 40). Experience from the Cook Islands, Tonga, and Western Samoa shows that remittances are more stable over time than receipts from agricultural exports or tourism (37). In Kosrae, where there are few agricultural exports and limited tourism, remittances are likely to remain an important source of income, at least in the short run. Remittances flowing back to small island states do not appear to be simply an act of altruism among family members that promote wasteful consumption of imports and diminishes over time. Instead, remittances often represent repayments of loans that helped finance human capital investment; money lent to relatives to help finance education; money for family retirement; and money to secure land inheritance back home. Remittances can thus play an important investment role in small economies, in addition to maintaining lifestyles and funding the consumption of imported goods.

Despite the expanding role of migration and remittances in the Pacific in recent decades, we have found no studies that link the MIRAB model to the sustainability of natural resource use in island economies. Most studies focus only on the financial and social effects of globalization and migration. Our survey, presented below, was designed to provide some insight into how open markets, particularly for labor, affect natural resource use on the island over time.

ANALYSIS OF HOUSEHOLD SURVEY DATA

Methods

To determine recent patterns and changes in the consumption of mangrove resources on Kosrae, we in 2000, conducted 100 household interviews which covered almost 10% of the house-

holds on the island. We chose a survey approach due to the paucity of official data on remittances, household income, and harvests of mangrove wood and crabs. The survey complemented concurrent ecological studies of mangrove wood harvesting rates during the past decade (27) and of mangrove crab habitat and abundance (41) that were part of our larger population-consumption-environment project on Kosrae. The sample was selected randomly in proportion to the number of households in each of the 5 main communities: Lelu, Malem, Utwe, Tafunsak, and Walung (Fig. 1). Survey questions were directed at the male or female head of household, or both if present at the same time. Interviews were conducted in the local language with the help of interpreters who worked at various state government offices.

The first section of our survey contained questions on demographic and economic attributes of the household, including respondents' age and sex, household size and composition, education of household members, and family members living off the island. As a proxy for income, we asked about the employment activities of the adults in each household (42). The following section of the survey elicited information about rates, methods, and perceptions of mangrove wood and crab harvesting. Respondents were asked about household patterns of cooking fuel use for daily meals and special occasions, materials used in new building construction, household collection or purchase of mangrove wood, and methods of fuelwood harvest. They also were asked about past and current crab collection practices, perceptions on the changing abundance of mangrove crabs in the mangrove forests, and markets for crabs collected by the household (home consumption, local market, or export). Finally, respondents were asked some general questions about how they were adapting to the decline in US funding through the Compact, including whether or not they were spending more time working on their agricultural plots.

Data from this survey were compared with those from our 1996 household survey on Kosrae (see Naylor and Drew (4) for details). Our principal aim was to test the hypotheses described above: i.e. that mangrove wood and crab consumption by households on the island have increased as public sector employment has been steadily reduced, taking into account the possible buffering effect of remittances that have increased with emigration. The 1996 survey used similar sampling and interview methods as the 2000 survey, but it did not contain questions about migration.


Differences in mangrove resource consumption by village and by employment sector were tested using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables and chi-square tests for discrete counts, e.g. number of households using wood as a primary fuel source. Multiple regression analysis also was performed but not reported; it simply confirms the results of the ANOVA and chi-square tests. Significance levels are reported in p-values.

Employment Patterns

The distribution of households by employment sector in 2000 was similar to that in 1996, with the government employing at least one member of more than half the households on the island. However, the share of households earning wages from the public sector dropped from 58% to 53%, while the share of households earning a living in the subsistence, or informal, sector increased from 19% to 25%. Over half of the former government employees stopped working because of mandatory retirement at age 55. The decline in government employment is understated by these numbers; with the drop in Compact funding, the length of the public sector workweek has been reduced to 28 hours (four 7-hr days), and real wages have decreased. Public sector employees have been encouraged to work on their farms on Fridays to compensate for the reduced work week.

Our results indicated, however, that once people entered a cash and wage-earning economy, they did not necessarily return to

Figure 1. Map of Kosrae State, FSM.



subsistence activities for their livelihoods. Nearly all of the households interviewed owned some agricultural land on Kosrae. Among households currently employed by the public sector, 52% farmed less, 24% farmed more, and 24% farmed the same amount in 2000 as they did in 1996. Similarly, of the households formerly in the government sector, 47% farmed less, 21% farmed more, and 32% farmed the same amount in 2000. Respondents attributed this trend to increased commitments to wage-earning jobs or, more often, to their children living off-island. Nonetheless, half of the households in our sample received some income from crab harvesting, agriculture, fishing, or handicrafts, worth on average USD 2200 yr⁻¹ or about one-third of median household income (43).

Employment varied significantly among the 5 main villages on the island (Table 1). Lelu is closest to government offices and had the highest population and density, containing 36% of the island's population and a high proportion of government and private households. Walung, in contrast, has no road access and is accessible only by boat; accordingly, it had the highest proportion of subsistence households on the island. Tafunsak is close to the airport and has several restaurants and a fish market, and thus also had a high proportion of private sector households. Both Malem and Utwe had a large share of government and subsistence households; these villages are farther from commercial and government offices and the airport, and closer to mangrove forests and to freshwater swamps where agroforestry is pursued. The differences in employment by village were consistent with the 1996 survey. Village distinctions, which reflect employment patterns, picked up much of the variation in resource use across the island, as shown below.

	Percent of households in each village				
	Lelu	Malem	Utwe	Tafunsak	Walung
Government	49	61	60	52	25
Private	37	09	07	26	0
Subsistence	14	30	33	22	75
*χ ² p < 0.05					

Migration Patterns

Two-thirds of the households interviewed had at least one family member living off-island, and 80% of these households received remittances (44). These data suggested that migration rates were quite high, with an average of 2 people per house-

hold living off-island. Aggregated across all households, the total number of emigrants (2100) was equivalent to more than 25% of the people remaining on the island, and reflected a 75% increase from the data reported in the 1994 census (19). The total Kosraean population (resident and emigrant combined) grew at an annual rate of 3.6% between 1994–2000. The annual migration rate during this period was 1.76%, which reduced the net annual growth rate of the resident population to 1.82% (see Appendix A).

Based on the geographical distribution of expatriates in 1994, we estimated that the Kosraean population migrated to the US (including Hawaii and Guam) at an annual rate of 1.64% from 1994–2000. The migration rate of Kosraeans to other FSM states and elsewhere in the Pacific during this period was only 0.29% per year. Our field survey data suggested that the share of total

emigrants from Kosrae living in the US and US territories remained slightly above 80% over the period. These results reflect the continued dependence of Kosrae on the US as a destination of emigration and a source of remittance income and education.

Our survey showed that Kosraeans emigrate mostly for employment (68%) or educational (16%) opportunities. Households with family members living outside FSM (migrant households) tended to achieve higher levels of education than those without emigrants (nonmigrant households). Two-thirds of migrant households had at least one adult who attended college *versus* more than half of non-migrant households. Similarly, 13% of migrant households had a family member currently attending college *versus* 9% of nonmigrant households.

Although rates of emigration did not differ across villages, migration appeared to be linked to employment patterns on the island. Nonmigrant households were more likely to find other formal sector jobs as government sector employment declined. Almost 20% of migrant households moved from the government sector to the subsistence sector with cut-backs in the Compact, compared to fewer than 10% of non-migrant households. More nonmigrant households (30%) owned a business than migrant households (12%), and more nonmigrant (27%) than migrant households (19%) worked in the private sector. These patterns suggested that households with family members overseas, many of which received remittances, had more financial freedom than nonmigrant households. Ironically, households with migrants are free to pursue subsistence activities and to earn lower wage incomes on the island.

Consumption of Mangrove Wood

Wood is used extensively on Kosrae for both building and cooking. Households typically use a mix of wood (mangrove wood and upland forest species), kerosene, and electric stoves for cooking. Over one-half of the households, evenly distributed across employment sectors, cooked to some extent with mangrove wood (Table 2). The average number of mangrove bundles used per household per week decreased by more than 30% from the 1996 survey; some of this difference was accounted for by a 20% increase in the use of upland forest species. Subsistence households relied on mangrove wood as a primary source of fuel more than households in the formal sector, using twice the number of mangrove bundles per week.

Most households (80%) constructed traditional *uhms* for Sunday meals, funerals, and other special occasions (45). *Uhm* cooking decreased by almost half since the 1996 survey, however, from an average of 1.5 times per week to 0.8 times per week. About one-half (46%) of households primarily used mangrove wood for *uhms*. For the island as a whole, daily cooking accounted for 67% of mangrove wood use, and *uhm* cooking accounted for the remaining 33%. Despite the fact that *uhms* are traditionally made with mangrove wood, 41% of households at this time were using other upland forest wood species. For non-subsistence households, *uhm* cooking accounted for almost all of the mangrove wood use, and about half of the total wood use. By contrast, subsistence households used only one-half of their mangrove wood and one-third of their total wood for *uhms*. These data suggest that mangrove wood continued to be used widely for *uhms*, even by households that had the money to buy alternative fuel sources for their daily cooking needs.

Most households on the island (88%) cooked with a kerosene stove, up from 75% in 1996. On average, households cooked 15 times per week with kerosene, and only 4.5 times per week with wood. Subsistence households used significantly less kerosene per week than government and private households (Table 2). Only 11% of households used electric stoves, less than half the number in 1996.

The use of cooking fuel differed significantly among villages (Table 3). Almost all households in Lelu used kerosene as their

Appendix A

State migration rates are not reported in any official FSM Census. We calculated the rate ourselves using *i*) the population sizes reported in the 1994 FSM Census and the 2000 FSM Census, *ii*) the net number of migrants estimated in the 1994 FSM Census, and *iii*) our estimate of emigrants in 2000 from our household surveys. Emigration data are not yet available directly from the 2000 FSM Census.

We assume that simple models of exponential growth provide an adequate description of Kosraean population dynamics and use such models to calculate instantaneous rates of migration (*m*) and population growth (*r*). The total population, *N*, comprises the resident population, *K*, and the expatriate population, *E*. Because most emigration from Kosrae is temporary and most people living off-island are between the ages of 25 to 35, we assumed that all population growth stems solely from the resident population on Kosrae. Models for growth of the resident population and the total population over the period from 1994 to 2000 may be written as

$$K_{2000} = K_{1994} e^{(r-m)(2000-1994)} \quad \text{Eq. 1a}$$

and

$$N_{2000} = E_{1994} + K_{1994} e^{r(2000-1994)} \quad \text{Eq. 1b}$$

respectively, where *r* is the instantaneous growth rate of the entire Kosraean population, *m* is the instantaneous (net) migration rate from Kosrae to the expatriate population, and the quantity (*r* – *m*) describes the instantaneous growth rate of the resident population. Solving these equations yields

$$1/6 \ln (K_{2000} / K_{1994}) = r - m \quad \text{Eq. 2a}$$

and

$$1/6 \ln [(N_{2000} - E_{1994}) / K_{1994}] = r \quad \text{Eq. 2b}$$

Substituting the values presented in the text into Equations (2a, b) and solving yields *r* = 0.0354, *m* = 0.0174, and (*r* – *m*) = 0.0182. To convert these instantaneous rates to equivalent rates for discrete annual intervals, we calculate $R = \exp(r) - 1 = 0.0360$, $M = \exp(m) - 1 = 0.0176$, and $(R - M) = 0.0182$.



Mangrove fuelwood bundles. Photo: M. Drew.

primary source of fuel, whereas all households in Walung used mangrove wood. Households in Utwe and Walung used significantly more mangrove wood than the other villages because of their proximity to large mangrove forests. Households in Lelu, Malem, and Tafunsak were increasingly using other forest species for fuelwood. Respondents claimed that an expanding network of farm roads into upland areas, combined with the increasing number of vehicles on the island, made upland forest species more accessible. The government currently is planning to extend an existing road around the rest of the island alongside mangrove forests that previously had been accessible only by boat. This plan, already in the early stages of implementation, will open up a wider area of forest resources to households for fuel, and likely will increase the consumption of mangrove wood significantly.

Roughly one-third of the island's households constructed new buildings in 2000, similar to the pattern in 1996. New buildings were most commonly dwellings, cookhouses, or piggeries. Only one-third of the households used mangrove wood for building, as opposed to half of the households in 1996. Many households also used *N. fruticans* palms for construction of roofs and cookhouses. The island-wide decrease in consumption of mangrove wood for construction can be explained by the concurrent 20% increase in the use of imported lumber and concrete. Most respondents who used imported material for construction claimed that the new government housing loan program on Kosrae allowed them to do so. Loans were widely available to households with some financial capital. Although increased use of imported lumber and concrete provided a reprieve from population pressures on mangrove resources, it may be temporary if the decline in Compact funding forces the Kosraean government to reduce the current housing loan program.

Table 2. Wood use for cooking by employment sector, 2000.

	Percent of households using wood for cooking				Fuel use rate	
	Mangrove wood	Upland forest spp.	Upland forest spp. as primary fuel	Mangrove wood as primary fuel*	Mangrove bdl/wk*	Kerosene gal/wk*
Government	57	66	13	4	1.12	1.1
Private	60	65	9	0	1.15	1.5
Subsistence	52	40	16	16	2.66	0.9

* χ^2 , ANOVA $p < 0.05$

Table 3. Wood use for cooking by village, 2000.

	Percent of households using wood for cooking				Fuel use rate
	Mangrove wood*	Upland forest spp.**	Mangrove wood as primary fuel***	Kerosene as primary fuel	Mangrove bdl/wk*
Lelu	54	74	0	97	0.74
Malem	30	74	0	70	1.15
Tafunsak	61	61	0	83	1.39
Utwe	87	20	13	80	2.06
Walung	100	0	100	0	3.88

* χ^2 , ANOVA $p < 0.05$

** χ^2 $p < 0.001$

*** χ^2 , $p < 0.0001$

Table 4. Mangrove crab harvest by employment category, 2000.

	Households harvesting crabs		All households
	Percent of total	Avg. crabs harvested/mon	Avg. crabs harvested/mon
Government	30	22.2	6.7
Private	23	12.1	2.7
Subsistence	24	37.0	9.0

Table 5. Mangrove crab trade by employment category, 2000.

	Households buying crabs		Households harvesting crabs	All households
	Percent of total	Avg. crabs bought/mon*	Ratio of crabs caught/ bought	Percent sending crabs off-island*
Government	56	1.7	0.3	36
Private	86	5.4	1.2	50
Subsistence	36	1.2	71.6	13

*ANOVA, χ^2 , $p < 0.05$

Consumption of Mangrove Crabs

Roughly one-third of all households on the island harvested crabs in 2000, down slightly from 40% in 1996. Total crab harvest per month decreased by 20% during the same period. Respondents indicated that they were harvesting fewer crabs now because they were growing old, because their children were either off-island or not interested in collecting crabs, or because crabs were becoming too difficult to find. Almost all (96%) households harvesting crabs used some or all for household consumption; in addition, about half of the households sold crabs in local markets, to restaurants, or to markets off-island.

The share of households harvesting crabs was distributed fairly evenly among employment sectors (Table 4). As expected, subsistence households are more likely to sell the crabs they harvest, and less likely to buy crabs (Table 5). Private-sector households bought significantly more crabs per month than government or subsistence households; they also sent more crabs off-island.

Average crab catch and trade also appeared to differ among villages (Tables 6 and 7). Walung had the greatest proportion of households harvesting crabs, likely due to

Table 6. Mangrove crab harvest by village, 2000.

	Households harvesting crabs		All households
	Percent of total	Avg. crabs harvested/mo	Avg. crabs harvested/mo
Lelu	26	11.2	2.9
Malem	17	6.4	1.1
Tafunsak	26	41.7	10.9
Utwe	33	48.6	16.2
Walung	75	6.5	4.9

Table 7. Mangrove crab sales by village, 2000.

	Households selling crabs		All households
	Percent of total	Avg. crabs sold/mo	Avg. crabs sold/mo
Lelu	33	8.4	0.7
Malem	50	0.5	0.5
Tafunsak	67	7.7	1.3
Utwe	60	101.4	20.3
Walung	33	16.0	4.0

the presence of a large, intact mangrove forest supporting a large crab population, the lack of a road and access by nonvillagers, and the majority of households leading a subsistence livelihood. Utwe, a village at one end of the existing road, also had a large number of crab-harvesters; these households both collected and sold more crabs per month on average than households in other villages.

Larger-scale crab collecting enterprises recently have emerged in Utwe and Tafunsak (7% and 4% of households in each village, respectively, were engaged in such activities). The average number of crabs collected per month by these households was 192 for Utwe and 161 for Tafunsak. Most crabs harvested in Utwe since 1997 were exported to a single restaurant in Guam.

In recent years, exports of crabs as private gifts were matched by increasing commercial exports from Kosrae, primarily to seafood restaurants in Guam. According to records kept by the Kosrae Quarantine Office, total crab exports increased almost fivefold in the past 4 years, from 680 kg in 1997 to 340 kg in 2000 (Fig. 2). Exports for gifts increased by about 2.5 times, whereas commercial exports increased eightfold. This dramatic change in both the number and purpose of crab exports has prompted concern about the long-term sustainability of an export-oriented crab fishery, especially considering the vast demand of large markets in Guam or Japan, which could quickly exhaust the crab supplies of a small island such as Kosrae.

Based on reported monthly crab harvest, we estimated the current annual harvest at 84 500 crabs for the island as a whole. This was a 42% decline from the 1996 annual harvest estimate of 144 800 crabs (4). At first glance this trend suggested a reduction in harvesting pressure on crab resources; however, the source of the harvest decrease pointed toward declining crab abundance rather than decreasing pressure. The decline in crab harvesting was due partially to a 15% decline in the number of households collecting crabs, but more importantly to a 45% decline in the average number of crab trips per month and a 37% decline in average catch per unit effort (CPUE). Eighty-five percent of respondents who harvested crabs stated that it was harder to find crabs now than several years ago. In the 2000 surveys, we inquired about past crab harvest as an additional proxy for changing crab abundance over time; anecdotal responses to this question suggested a dramatic decline (more than two-thirds) in CPUE during the last 10 years (Fig. 3). The decrease in catch per unit effort is a warning sign that crab abundance is declining on the island and that management efforts may be warranted to ensure the long-term sustainability of the fishery (41, 46).

Migration-Consumption Linkages

Pressure on crab resources on Kosrae appears to be a function of expanding export markets as well as population-driven demand. In addition, there is some evi-

dence that migration rates may be linked to crab collection. Although our data showed no statistical difference in the number of crabs that migrant and non-migrant households collect or export, interviews suggested that there is a growing expectation or desire across households to send crabs to family and friends off-island.

At this point, only weak statements can be made about the relationship between mangrove resource consumption and migration on Kosrae. Data from our survey showed no statistical significance between consumption indicators and migration across households. Wood use for cooking was similar between migrant and nonmigrant households, and construction was higher (although not statistically different) in the migrant group (34% of migrant households constructed buildings during the previous year *versus* 24% of nonmigrant households). We believe continued consumption of imported goods on the island is fueled by employment abroad and remittance income. The lack of statistical significance in our study was due most likely to the large

Figure 2. Crab exports per month 1997–2000.
Source: Kosrae Quarantine Office.





Figure 3. Crab catch per unit effort 1990–2000.
Source: Field survey data 1996 and 2000.



number of households which had family members living overseas; two-thirds of the households were in the migrant category, and these households were distributed fairly evenly across employment categories and villages. In addition, remittance income tends to be distributed widely among migrant and nonmigrant households due to extensive kinship ties across these household types. As a result, trends in total consumption (migrant and nonmigrant combined) of mangrove wood and crabs provided the most concrete evidence of resource change on the island.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR RESOURCE STEWARDSHIP

It is clear that Kosrae is at a crossroads in terms of economic activity and resource use. During the past several decades, migration and foreign aid have helped to relieve the pressures of economic crowding on the natural resource base. As US aid is withdrawn and public sector jobs are reduced, however, the question of economic crowding still looms as an important policy issue for the island and for FSM as a whole.

The results of this study show that despite the increase in population and decline in aid and government jobs, average household consumption of mangrove resources has not increased in recent years. Mangrove wood for daily cooking and *uhms* has decreased since 1996, and the use of kerosene stoves has continued to rise. Similarly, the use of mangrove wood for building has been offset by the use of imported lumber and concrete. The majority of households on the island have family members living overseas and receive remittances; these remittances most likely have helped to sustain the increase in demand for imported materials. If the special visa status available to FSM residents for employment and schooling in the US is repealed in the next phase of the Compact, this pattern could be reversed. In addition, if the government's housing loan program is reduced, or if the government proceeds with its plan to build a road around the island through remote mangrove forests, pressure on mangrove resources could escalate in the future. The island's upland forests already are being used more intensively than in 1996.

Our results also show that the average catch of mangrove crabs per household has declined and that fewer households are engaged in crab collection. Nonetheless, some households now are engaged in large-scale crab collection and export activities; an increase in the rate or extent of these activities for tourism consumption or export could drive the mangrove crab fishery to an unsustainable level. In recent years there have been signs of a decline in crab abundance. Our research suggests that many households are collecting fewer crabs because they are more difficult to find.

Three scenarios of economic development seem plausible at this stage, each of which has vastly different implications for economic crowding and the sustainability of resources on the island. The first, and most probable, scenario follows from the first 15-yr phase of the Compact: foreign aid is continued at a reduced level, and special status visas for Kosraeans (and other FSM residents) to the US and US territories are permitted on an unrestricted basis. The second scenario is that aid is reduced and that the migration clause of the Compact permitting Kosraeans open access to the US and US territories is repealed. The third scenario entails continued aid and migration, and a shift toward economic activities on the island that do not place direct consumption pressure on mangrove or inland resources.

Under the first scenario, it is likely that economic growth on the island would be fueled increasingly by remittance income, and that net population growth would remain roughly stable. Although our results suggest that the effect of economic crowding on mangrove resources would be mitigated by emigration and the substitution of imports for mangrove fuel and building materials, resource stewardship under this scenario is not clear.

Ostrom et al. (47) stress the importance of a shared set of norms and resource needs for cooperative and sustainable management of common property resources. As a larger share of the island's population moves off and then back onto the island, norms are bound to change; such changes could include a decline in native knowledge of mangrove ecology, and a reduced appreciation for the goods and services generated by mangroves. Essentially, if the need to preserve common property resources becomes less important because of remittances and import substitutes, then the institutions governing resource management also could break down. A critical condition for the preservation of norms in the face of migration and markets is a stable and strong social structure (8).

The second scenario implies much greater reliance on the island's natural resources given Kosrae's current dependence on foreign aid and remittances. Given ongoing changes in preferences and lifestyles on Kosrae, greater pressure may be placed on the natural resource base as islanders move into new income-generating activities to sustain their consumption of imported goods, such as kerosene, building materials, food, cars, and audio-visual equipment. To avoid an "Easter Island" outcome under this scenario, cooperative behavior and a strong set of collective norms governing resource use would need to be institutionalized, much more so than is evident today. Our analysis has focused on use of mangroves, a resource that, in spite of the abuse it receives around the world, is appreciated, if not completely understood, in Kosrae (4). The future of freshwater forested wetlands and upland forests also is threatened, perhaps especially so if harvesting pressure is displaced from mangrove forests. Economic crowding under this scenario would place greater pressure on all of the island's ecosystems. Without cooperative behavior and strong social institutions, Kosraeans could effectively eat and chop their resource base from beneath them.

The third scenario is more optimistic and suggests a significant decline in economic crowding. It also could lead to a turning point in migration—defined as the transition from being an exporter of labor in a weak economy to an importer of labor when remittances and incomes are reinvested in the economy (48, 49). Such a turning point is not on the immediate horizon. Nonetheless, remittances are likely to fuel future investments on Kosrae in the short run, particularly as government sector jobs are eliminated. Whether these investments focus on resource extraction (e.g. crab exports), tourism, agricultural production, other industrial or service activities, or human capital development remains to be seen, but the outcome could be significant. The most favorable outcome in terms of resource sustainability would be one in which economic growth was based on service-related industries that utilize available labor resources and preserve natural resources. One option might be ecotourism (50), but other value-added activities that focus on processing or assembling imported materials with domestic labor for export also might be viable.

The next decade will be a critical time of transition in Kosrae, especially in terms of trends in migration, private sector investment, and the use of forest and marine resources. Given the small geographic and population size of the island, a single person or project could have a large and disproportionate effect on the resource base. As a result, village communities and the government of Kosrae will need to decide upon which path they collectively want to embark for future economic development. To achieve societal goals, this effort will require strong institutions and a willingness to limit individual initiatives. Kosraeans no longer live on an isolated island. Their options are open now, yet they will almost certainly be limited unless the emigration outlet remains possible.

References and Notes

- Kirch, P.V. and Hunt, T.L. (eds). 1997. *Historical Ecology in the Pacific Islands*. Yale University Press, New Haven.
- The estimated population level was obtained by personal communication with the Kosrae Division of Planning and Statistics in preparation of the 2000 Kosrae Census. The other demographic data come from our 2000 survey, which is described later in the paper.
- Drew, W.M., Ewel, K.C., Naylor, R.L. and Sigrah, A. 2001. *Importance of a Tropical Freshwater Wetland*. Pacific Institute of Forestry, Honolulu, Hawaii. (Mimeo).
- Naylor, R. and Drew, M. 1998. Valuing mangrove resources in Kosrae, Micronesia. *Environ. Devel. Econ.* 3, 471–490.
- For example, without ample substitutions for goods derived from mangrove forests (e.g. fuel and building materials), population and consumption growth will place increasing pressure on the resource base, leading to resource scarcity and rising values for the goods at the margin. By analogy, unrestricted (open access) fisheries tend to increase fishing pressure, which leads to heightened scarcity and rising values for fish and, in turn, even greater fishing pressure. Our definition of economic crowding does not necessarily imply increasing returns to scale; the result depends upon the degree of restriction on resource use and the degree of substitution in consumption of the resource goods.
- Pinzon, Z.S. 1998. *Canopy Dynamics and Regeneration in Mangrove Forests in Micronesia*. MSc Thesis, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.
- Athens, J.S., Ward, J.V. and Murakami, G.M. 1996. Development of an agroforest on a Micronesian high island: prehistoric Kosraean agriculture. *Antiquity* 70, 834–846.
- Kirch, P.V. 2000. *On the Road of the Winds: An Archeological History of the Pacific Islands Before European Contact*. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Gorenflo, L.J. 1993. Demographic change in Kosrae state, Federated States of Micronesia. *Pacif. Stud.* 16, 67–118.
- Hezel, F.X. 1983. *The First Taint of Civilization: A History of the Caroline and Marshall Islands in the Precolonial Days, 1521–1885*. University of Hawai'i Press, Honolulu.
- Peoples, J.G. 1985. *Island in Trust: Culture Change and Dependence in a Micronesian Economy*. Westview Press, Boulder.
- Falgout, S., Poyer, L. and Crucchi, L. M. 1995. "The greatest hardship": Micronesian memories of World War II. *ISLA: J. Micronesian Stud.* 3, 203–221.
- Ritter, P.L. 1978. *The Repopulation of Kosrae: Population and Social Organization on a Micronesian High Island*. Ann Arbor. University Microfilms.
- Peoples, J.G. 1986. Employment and household economy in a Micronesian village. *Pacif. Stud.* 9, 103–120.
- Cameron, J. 1991. Economic development options for the Federated States of Micronesia at independence. *Pacif. Stud.* 14, 35–70.
- Shinn, R. 1984. Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. In: *Oceania: A regional Study*. Bunge, F.M. and Cooke, M.W. (eds). US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, pp. 295–348.
- Takeuchi, F.K. 2000. What Micronesia really wants. *Pacific Mag.* 29–32.
- US Department of Interior 1999. *A Report on the State of the Islands*. US Department of the Interior.
- FSM Census. 1996. *1994 FSM Census of Population and Housing: Kosrae State Census Report*. Division of Planning and Statistics, Department of Administration, Kosrae, Federated States of Micronesia, Tofol. Census Report. (Note: From what we have learned about the reporting process for remittances in Kosrae, it is likely that the official data for 1994 represent underestimates.)
- Hezel, F.X. and McGrath, T.B. 1989. The great flight northward: FSM migration to Guam and the Northern Mariana Islands. *Pacif. Stud.* 13, 47–64.
- Associated Press 2000. *US Considers Restricting Micronesian Immigration*. Honolulu.
- Stovall, J. 1997. *The Compact—What Expires, What Continues?* Embassy of the Federated States of Micronesia, Washington, DC.
- Devoe, N.N. 1994. Mangrove exploitation and conservation in the Federated States of Micronesia. *ISLA: J. Micronesian Stud.* 2, 67–82.
- Ewel, K.C., Eong, O.J. and Twilley, R. 1998. Different kinds of mangrove swamps provide different goods and services. *Global Ecol. Biogeogr. Lett.* 7, 83–94.
- The research presented in this paper uses current and past data on mangrove resource consumption to gauge future patterns of consumption. A dynamic model that captures ecological, demographic, and economic feedbacks over a longer (future) time period is being developed as a separate effort by Ewel et al. (In prep).
- Devoe, N.N. and Cole, T.G. 1998. Growth and yield in mangrove forests of the Federated States of Micronesia. *For. Ecol. Mgmt* 103, 33–48.
- Hauff, R.D., Ewel, K.C. and Jack, J. 2001. *Tracking Human Disturbance in Mangroves: Estimating Harvest Rates on a Micronesian Island*. Pacific Institute of Forestry, Honolulu.
- Hezel, F.X. and Levin, M.J. 1987. Micronesian emigration and the brain drain in Palau, Marshalls, and the Federated States. *J. Pacif. Soc.* 10, 16–34.
- Gorenflo, L.J. and Levin, M.J. 1995. Changing migration patterns in the Federated States of Micronesia. *ISLA: J. Micronesian Stud.* 3, 29–71.
- Hezel, F.X. and Levin, M.J. 1996. New trends in Micronesian migration: FSM migration to Guam and the Marianas, 1990–1993. *Pacif. Stud.* 19, 91–114.
- McElroy, J. and deAlbuquerque, K. 1990. Migration, natality and fertility: some Caribbean evidence. *Int. Migr. Rev.* 24, 783–802.
- Gailey, C.W. 1992. A good man is hard to find: overseas migration and the decentered family in the Tongan islands. *Crit. Anthropol.* 12, 47–74.
- Macpherson, C. 1994. Changing patterns of commitment to island homelands: a case study of Western Samoa. *Pacif. Stud.* 17, 83–116.
- Ahlburg, D.A. 1994. Return migration from the United States to American Samoa: evidence from the 1980 and 1990 censuses. *Pacif. Stud.* 17, 71–84.
- Brown, R.P.C. 1998. Do migrants' remittances decline over time? Evidence from Tongans and Western Samoans in Australia. *Contemp. Pacif.* 10, 107–151.
- Rallu, J.L. 1994. Australia and Pacific islander migration. *Asian Pacif. Migr.* J. 3, 431–443.
- Poirine, B. 1998. Should we hate or love MIRAB? *Contemp. Pacif.* 10, 65–105.
- Bertram, G. and Watters, R.F. 1985. The MIRAB economy in Pacific Microstates. *Pacif. View* 26, 497–519.
- Poirine, B. 1993. *Economic Development and Cultural Change in the Pacific Islands: A Case of Market Failure?* Centre for South Pacific Studies, University of New South Wales, Sydney. Three Essays from French Polynesia, Pacific Studies Monograph.
- Poirine, B. 1995. *A Theory of Aid as Trade, with Special Reference to Small Islands*. Centre for South Pacific Studies, University of New South Wales, Sydney. Two Essays on Aid and Remittances, Pacific Studies Monograph.
- Bonine, K.M., Ewel, K.C. and Palik, M. 2001. Ecological characteristics of mangrove crabs (*Scylla serrata*) in Kosrae, Federated States of Micronesia. Pacific Institute of Forestry, Honolulu, Hawaii. (Mimeo).
- Employment was categorized as government sector jobs, private sector jobs, and subsistence or informal activities. Many households had more than one form of employment and were grouped in the following way: those with at least one adult employed by the government were placed in the government category; those with at least one adult employed in the private sector and none employed by the government were placed in the private category; and those with all adults working outside of the government and private sector were placed in the subsistence category. Although this grouping is not a direct representation of income, it provides some indication of how the consumption of mangrove resources is changing as public sector jobs are reduced with the phase-out of the Compact.
- This calculation is based on 1993 income data, which are the most recent data available from the Division of Planning and Statistics. In 1993, the mean annual household income was USD 9686, and the median annual household income was USD 6739.
- While the official 1994 FSM Census reported that only 6% of Kosraean households received remittances, our household surveys found that 80% of migrant households received remittances, which totals to over 50% of households on the island. We believe the 1994 number of 6% is a significant underestimate; there is currently no systematic way for the government to track remittances, and families are likely hesitant to make official reports during Census enumerations.
- Funerals are considered one of the most important traditional family and community events on Kosrae, and they often last from weeks to more than a month. By tradition, extended family and friends bring wood or food cooked in *uhms*. Our survey results indicated, however, that in 2000 about half of the households purchased food to bring to funerals, and the remainder cooked with mangrove wood (21%) or upland forest species (30%).
- Due to concern about a perceived decline in the mangrove crab harvest, the Kosrae State Government recently passed a law specifying a minimum harvest size, banning the harvest or exporting of females with eggs, and prohibiting the capture and sale of crabs from June to December. Without any legal means of enforcement or community involvement, however, it is unlikely that this law will be followed. Indeed, 63% of respondents who had found juvenile crabs stated they harvested them as well, 36% reported collecting females with eggs, and 58% reported buying females with eggs. Eighty-eight percent of respondents said females with eggs taste the best.
- Ostrom, E., Burger, J., Field, C.B., Norgaard, R.B. and Policansky, D. 1999. Revisiting the commons: local lessons, global challenges. *Science* 284, 278–282.
- Abella, M.I. 1994. Introduction. *Asian Pacif. Migr. J.* 3, 1–6.
- See related papers in the special issue of *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal* on "Turning Points in Migration" 1994.
- This option would be compatible with the preservation of resources only if the human capital/scientific expertise of the population were enhanced and the industry remained at a relatively small-scale given the geographic size of the island.
- The authors wish to thank Moses Palik, Ricktari Lowery, Jason Jack, Nena Alik, Larson Livaie, Maxwell Salik, and Lotto Ismael for field assistance and translation during the interviews; Eric Bjorkstedt for assistance in writing Appendix A; Walter Falcon, members of the MacArthur PCE program board, and an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments on earlier drafts; and the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation's initiative on "Population, Consumption, and Environment" for funding the research. The usual disclaimers apply.

Rosamond Naylor is a Senior Fellow at the Center for Environmental Science and Policy (CESP) at Stanford University. She received her MSc in economics from the London School of Economics and her PhD in applied economics from Stanford University. Her research focuses on economic development, agriculture and aquaculture production, land use and land-cover change, and food security. Her address: CESP, Encina Hall 418E, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-6055, USA. E-mail: roz@stanford.edu

Kimberly Bonine is currently Program Director for Conservation Strategy Fund, a nonprofit conservation organization based in Mendocino County, California. The research for this paper was conducted while working as a Research Fellow at the Center for Environmental Science and Policy at Stanford University after she received her MS degree from Stanford's Earth Systems Program. Her address: 162 Rincon Road, Felton, CA 95018. E-mail: kim@conservation-strategy.org

Katherine Ewel is a research ecologist at the Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry in Honolulu, Hawaii, a unit of the USDA Forest Service's Pacific Southwest Research Station. Her address: Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry, USDA Forest Service, 1151 Punchbowl Street, Rm 323, Honolulu, HI 96813. E-mail: kewel@gte.net

Erick Waguk is State Forester for the Kosrae Island Resource Management Program. His address: Kosrae Island Resource Management Program, P.O. Box DRC, Kosrae, Federated States of Micronesia, 96944. E-mail: kosraeforestry@mail.fm (att. E.Waguk)